

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ELLEN J. CHRISTIANSEN and
DENNIS A. CHRISTIANSEN,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CONSENSUS ORTHOPEDICS, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:13-cv-01320-APG-GWF

ORDER

The Court has received the parties' Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (#10) filed August 23, 2013. The proposed Order before the Court does not comply with the requirements of LR 26-1. LR 26-1(e)(1) sets a period of 180 days as a presumptively reasonable amount of time in which to conduct discovery. The 180 days is measured from the date the first defendant answered or otherwise appeared. Discovery plans requesting longer than 180 days from the date the first defendant answers or appears require special scheduling review. Where such special scheduling review is requested, the plan shall state the reasons why longer or different time periods should apply. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (#10) is **denied**, without prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are to file a revised Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order in compliance with LR 26-1(d), including any request for special scheduling review,

...

...

...

1 no later than September 6, 2013. The revised discovery plan should state the reasons why a longer
2 discovery period should be granted.

3 DATED this 26th day of August, 2013.

4
5 
6 GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
7 United States Magistrate Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28